IN THE HIGH COURT OF UTTARANCHAL AT NAINITAL

Writ Petition No. 174 of 2005 (S/S)

Anurag Pandey
S/o Sri Girish Chandra Pandey
R/o Cinema Line, Pithoragarh ……..Petitioner

Versus

1. Commissioner, Directorate of Rural Development
Pauri, Pauri Garhwal.
2. Project Director
District Rural Development Agency
Pithoragarh.
3. Chief Development Officer
District Rural Development Agency
Pithoragarh. …….Respondents.

Shri Tumul Nailwal, learned counsel for the petitioner.
Learned Standing Counsel for the respondents.

Hon'ble Prafulla C. Pant, J.

Learned counsel for the parties are present.

2. Heard.

3. By means of this petition, the petitioner, who is a daily wage Clerk/Computer Operator with District Rural Development Agency (DRDA), has sought his regularization in service. In view of the principle of law laid down in ***Secretary, State of Karnataka Vs. Uma Devi, reported in (2006)4 Supreme Court Cases, Pg. 01***, wherein it has been held that the workers on daily wages or the persons who get employed without undergoing regular selection process, should not permitted to be absorbed or regularized against the constitutional scheme of public employment, this Court is not inclined to issue direction to

regularize services of the petitioner, as such, the petition is liable to be dismissed.

4. The writ petition is dismissed. Interim order, if any, stands vacated. Interim stay order, if any, stands vacated (All pending applications also stand disposed of).

Dt. 16.11.2006 **(Prafulla C. Pant, J.)**

S